

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/800,347	03/12/2004	Michael Ian McGregor	RPS920040005US1	9907
43219 7591 KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES 8 ISAST BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			RUBIN, BLAKE J	
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/800,347
 MCGREGOR ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 BLAKE RUBIN
 2457

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) BLAKE RUBIN. (3)SCOTT C HILTON. (2) LaSHONDA JACOBS. (4)BRUCE NEEDHAM. Date of Interview: 09 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ring & Caughev. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant provided a breif overview of the invention. Examiners communicated a disconnect between the claims as interpretted by the examiner and as written. The applicant agreed to clarifying the claim, particularly as it relates to the various "users" mentioned. The examiner communicated their intention on continuing their search of the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	